Class and Section: MIS4173 Date: 02/27/2022

## **Assignment: Sprint Review & Retrospective 2**

Team 5:

Alexes Carroll, Sean Harris, Nick Setliff

SR&R 2 Documentation Grade: **93** SR&R 2 Presentation Grade: **96**

***Presentation graded with COB BCC Rubric by Richard O’Dor***

#### SR&R 2 – Overall

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Content  (Overall Project) | 4- Exceptional  3 -Good  2- Satisfactory  1- Poor  0 -Unacceptable | **Comments:**  You all did a good job on your second sprint. Continue to push toward a working system. Take my feedback and change what needs to be changed. If you need anything, let me know.  Dr. Kisling (02/27/2022) |

#### SR&R 2 – Documentation

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Requirement** | **Points Possible** | **Points Earned** |
| **Overall Organization** |  |  |
| Title Page | **2** | 2 |
| Table of Contents | **2** | 1 |
| **SR&R 2 Required Documents** |  |  |
| **Project Documents New, Fixes, & Updates** |  |  |
| Previous Documentation Updates | **15** | 13 |
| Preliminary Non-functional Requirements | **20** | 17 |
| New Documentation | **20** | 20 |
| **Sprint Documents** |  |  |
| Updated Product Backlog | **7** | 7 |
| Sprint 2 Backlog | **7** | 6 |
| Sprint 2 Burn Down Chart | **7** | 7 |
| Sprint 2 Daily SCRUM Meeting Log | **7** | 7 |
| Sprint 2 Team Evaluation Document | **7** |  |
| **Updated Project Plan** | **6** | 6 |
| **Total possible points** | **100** | 93 |

#### SR&R 2 – Presentation

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Requirement** | **Points Possible** | **Points Earned** |
| **Presentation** |  |  |
| Overall Organization of Presentation | **5** | 5 |
| Introduction to Presentation | **5** | 5 |
| Preliminary Supplement Specifications  (Non-functional Requirements) | **15** | 13 |
| Project Updates (please include UCD & CD) | **15** | 13 |
| **Sprint Items** |  |  |
| Updated Product Backlog | **10** | 10 |
| Sprint 2 Backlog | **10** | 10 |
| Sprint 2 Burn Down Chart | **10** | 10 |
| Sprint 2 Potentially Shippable Product Increment | **20** | 20 |
| **Discussion with Class** |  |  |
| Facilitation | **5** | 5 |
| Input from peers | **5** | 5 |
| **Total possible points** | **100** | 96 |

**Comments:**

DOCUMENTATION

* Your document looks professional, but you have the following areas to address:
  + The table of contents is not professional—if you need help let me know and I can show you a quick way to format it
  + The TOC does not match the content on the pages
  + Spacing between headings is not consistent—see page 4
  + Do not address updates in the report, just put the updated item in the report
  + Do not address new documentation, just include it in the report
    - New documentation is a new item from the backlog. Your executive summary for Section 2 would be new documentation
* See comments about UCD below
* See comments below about the non-functional requirements
* Level 0 DFD looks great! There is one thing you can do to try to improve the diagram:
  + Try not to cross lines—you can do that by taking DS5 and make it go above the entities and come to Process 2
  + Other than that, according to your DFD:
    - There is no login needed to access the system
    - No processes feed one another which is okay
    - You have 7 tables in your database
* Sprint items
  + Backlogs are good, but there are no user requirements for the system listed so how do you have a PSPI?
  + Sprint 2 backlog should not have non-numbered items—those items deal with your sprint presentation, not the system
  + SCRUM meeting log is good
  + Burndown chart is good
  + Sprint 2 Team Evaluation is good
* The Project plan is okay for this sprint. What application are you doing it in? I would like to see the entire plan with all six sprints
* If you need help with anything, please let me know. I am here to help you all be successful

PRESENTATION

* You did a good job on the content you provided
* This being your initial UCD, you did a great job. You need to do the following:
  + All use cases should be in a vertical row
  + All human actors are to be on the left and all secondary actors on the right
  + Secondary actors should be squared rectangles
  + The lines coming from email should go straight to the use cases
  + Try to make the actors the color of their heads and lines
* The Context Diagram was very good
* Your level 0 DFD looks great. See my comments above
* The non-functional requirements are good, but you need to divide them among the categories so everyone knows which belong to security, to cultural and political, etc
* All sprint items were good, but the Sprint 2 backlog is confusing—ask me about it
* How do you have a PSPI without user requirements for the system listed on your backlogs?
* Mr. O’Dor gave you all a 2.85/4 = 71.25%. You can see I gave you a 96%, **Remember**, he grades the SR&R 6 Presentation, I do not. Read his feedback and do his suggestions.